

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/634,960	Applicant(s) KARLSEN, FRANK	
	Examiner Jehanne E Souaya	Art Unit 1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jehanne E Souaya. (3)_____.

(2) Christopher Corbett. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2003 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: 19, 28, 34, and 39 .

Identification of prior art discussed: none .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney agreed to the following changes to overcome claim objections and issues under 35 USC 112/2nd paragraph: in claims 19, 34, and 39, the deletion of the phrase "one of", and the replacement of the word "and" with --or--. In claim 28, the insertion of the word --for-- in between the recitation of 'E.coli' and 'forming' .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required